



United States Facent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,719	01/17/2002	Richard Hsiao	SJO9-2001-0046US1	1405
32112	7590 05/21/2004	V	EXAM	INER
	TUAL PROPERTY LAV	TUPPER, ROBERT S		
1901 S. BASC CAMPBELL,	COM AVENUE, SUITE 66 CA 95008	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
on Mar 2022, on 30000			2652	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/200	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/052,719	HSIAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert S Tupper	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the may be a compared to the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the may be a compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will, by state the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be compared by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, marely within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	March 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Ti						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,11-20 and 24-40</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	•				
)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	a Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/17/02.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

. Application/Control Number: 10/052,719

Art Unit: 2652

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I and the species of figures 5-14, indicating claims 1-3, 5-10, and 21-23 as readable thereon, in Paper No. 9 of 3/;9/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 4, 11-20, and 24-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, on line 1, "said etch stop layer" has no antecedent basis.

Concerning claims 7-10, the recitation in claim 7 on line 12 "a write gap layer being disposed above said fill layer" is indefinite, misleading, and misdescriptive. The positions of these layers is unclear. This recitation can be read that there are two separate layers, a gap layer and a fill layer, above the coil insulation layer. There is only one layer above the coil insulating layer, the write gap layer.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

. Application/Control Number: 10/052,719

Art Unit: 2652

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by SANTINI (6,130,809).

Note especially figures 1-4, 18, 19,29, and 32. SANTINI shows a thin film magnetic head (250) used in a disk drive (see figures 1-3), the head is mounted on a substrate (the slider 42) and has a first pole(254) with a pole pedestal (262), an etch stop layer 266), a coil (252), electrical insulation layer (268) between coil layers where the coil insulating layer does not extend to the ABS surface, a fill/write gap layer (276 and not numbered thin top layer) located above the coil insulating layer which has portions that extend to the ABS surface to provide the write gap, and a second pole (not shown in figure 19, but shown in the two layer coil embodiment of figure 18 as P2/S1). The insulating layers are disclosed as utilizing either alumina or silicon dioxide (see column 12 lines 32-35).

- 7. Claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

. Application/Control Number: 10/052,719

Art Unit: 2652

SASAKI, YARZAWA et al, and KOBAYASHI et al all show thin film heads with a coil insulating layer that does not extend to the ABS surface and separate fill/gap/etch stop layers above and below the coil insulting layer, where portions of those layers extend to the ABS surface. These have not been applied against the pending claims to avoid cumulative rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper '
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652